

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,202	11/05/2001	Stefan Grimm	RDIDO01046US	7800	
6449	7590 02/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	L, FIGG, ERNST & MA	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, QUANG		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20005		1636		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/890,202	GRIMM ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 29.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-28 and 30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. ■ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:	(a)	DAVID GUZO PRIMARY EXAMI	NER		
		**			

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/890,202

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation "from said silicon dioxide bound fraction" in proposed claims 17, 26 and 27 would require further consideration and/or search. Examiner notes that previous final rejected claims recite "from said soluble fraction". Additionally, the limitation "said sample" in step (c) and "said aqueous solution of potassium acetate" in step (d) would raise a new ground of rejection, for example, 112, Second Paragraph. Which sample? The intact biological sample or the disrupted biological sample of step (a)? Which aqueous solution of potassium acetate? The aqueous solution of potassium acetate before adding to the sample?

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed only to the proposed claims which are not entered for the reasons set forth above.